Representations of Moral Violations:

Category Members and Associated Features

Supplementary Materials

Justin F. Landy
University of Chicago Booth School of Business

Analytic Thinking Scale (Studies 1-3)

Cognitive Reflection Test (Frederick, 2005):

- 1. A bat and a ball cost \$1.10 in total. The bat costs \$1.00 more than the ball. How much does the ball cost?
- 2. If it takes 5 machines 5 minutes to make 5 widgets, how long would it take 100 machines to make 100 widgets?
- 3. In a lake, there is a patch of lily pads. Every day, the patch doubles in size. If it takes 48 days for the patch to cover the entire lake, how long would it take for the patch to cover half of the lake?

Belief-Bias Syllogisms (Baron et al., 2015):

4. All flowers have petals.

Roses have petals.

If these two statements are true, can we conclude from them that roses are flowers?

5. All mammals walk.

Whales are mammals.

If these two statements are true, can we conclude from them that whales walk?

6. All vehicles have wheels.

Boats are vehicles.

If these two statements are true, can we conclude from them that boats have wheels?

Correlations between political conservatism and moral judgments, by item.

	Wrong (St. 1)	Gen. (St 1.)	Int. Harm (St. 2)	Wrong (St. 3)	Gen. (St 2.)	Int. Harm (St. 3)
Care Violations (Studies 1-3)	(56.1)	(51 1.)	(31. 2)	(31. 3)	(51 2.)	(31. 3)
A girl shoots geese repeatedly with a pellet	07	07	12 [†]	10	05	16*
gun out in the woods.						
A woman spanks her child with a spatula	18**	15*	16**	11†	01	11†
for getting bad grades in school. A boy makes fun of his brother for getting	0.4	02	02	06	07	02
dumped by his girlfriend.	.04	03	.02	.06	.07	.02
A man tells a woman that her painting	.02	.07	.06	.06	.11†	.07
looks like it was done by children.						
A girl tells a boy that his older brother is	05	07	.09	.01	.07	.06
much more attractive than him.	_					
Fairness Violations (Studies 1-3)		0.0		0.0	4.1.	0.4
A boy skips to the front of the line because his friend is an employee.	.15*	.02	$.11^{\dagger}$.02	.14*	04
A soccer player pretends to be seriously	.05	.07	.03	.05	.09	10
fouled by an opposing player during a local	.03	.07	.03	.03	.07	10
game.						
A man hires his nephew for a job, instead	.04	.08	03	08	.03	13*
of a more qualified applicant. A tenant bribes a landlord to be the first to	.24***	.22**	02	07	1.4*	02
get their apartment repainted.	.24	.22	.03	.07	.14*	.02
A man edges out another person in a long	.08	.05	.06	.05	.14*	.02
line.		.00	.00		• • •	
Authority Violations (Studies 1-3)						
A girl takes her father's car out after	.19**	.10	.16*	$.12^{\dagger}$.24***	.05
curfew, against his wishes.	10*	0.4	1.04	0.0	2 O starte	07
An 18-year-old high school senior talks loudly in class while the instructor is	.12†	.04	.13*	.09	.20**	.07
teaching.						
A staff member talks loudly and interrupts	.18**	.04	.08	.09	.15*	.06
the mayor's speech to the public.						
A low-level company employee sends out	.17*	.12†	.16*	.14*	.20**	.08
an email to other low-level employees, calling the company president an "idiot."						
A soldier disobeys an order given by his	.32***	.26***	.09	.18**	.26***	.09
commanding officer. Nothing bad happens	.52	.20	.07	.10	.20	.07
as a result of his disobedience.	_					
Loyalty Violations (Studies 1-3)	_					
A man sells government secrets from his	.24***	$.13^{\dagger}$.10	.09	.13*	.10
nation to another country. The information						
is classified, though the sale will not have any negative consequences for people in						
the man's home nation.						
An ambassador jokes about the stupidity of	.16*	.12†	.09	.14*	.12†	01
people from his home country.						
A woman shares a secret that her best friend entrusted to her. The secret is not	.02	.00	.14*	.09	.15*	.14*
damaging or embarrassing in any way and						
her friend never finds out that her secret						
has been shared.						
A coach celebrates with the opposing	.18**	$.11^{\dagger}$.10	.07	$.11^{\dagger}$.09
team's players who just won the game. A man leaves his family business to go	1 4 *	1 1 1	01	1 <i>5</i> *	10**	10**
work for their main competitor.	.14*	.14*	01	.15*	.19**	.18**
work for their main competitor.	-					

Sanctity Violations (Studies 1-3)	_					
A woman eats the flesh of a deceased	.24***	.25***	.02	.24***	.21**	.10
relative as part of a group funeral rite.	0.2	0.6	0.6	00	1.0 de de	10%
A man searches through the trash to find women's discarded underwear.	.03	.06	.06	.09	.18**	.13*
A man writes erotic poetry about his pet	.15*	.16*	$.11^{\dagger}$.23***	.25***	.14*
cat.						
A woman marries her first cousin in an elaborate wedding.	.16*	.21**	.09	.26***	.22***	.14*
A man takes part in a performance art	.27***	.21**	.23***	.31***	.30***	.21**
piece in which a group of people act like						
animals for 30 minutes, including crawling						
around naked and urinating on stage.	_					
Attention Checks (Study 1)						
A woman takes the bus to work instead of	_	_	_	_	-	_
the train.						
A woman eats a blueberry muffin and	-	-	-	-	-	-
drinks a glass of milk for breakfast.	_					
Warm-Up Items (Studies 2-3)						
A woman makes cruel remarks to an	_	_	_	_	_	_
overweight woman about her appearance.						
A man goes to a birthday party for his	_	-	-	_	-	_
friend, but does not bring him a gift.						

Correlations between analytic thinking and moral judgments, by item.

	Wrong	Gen.	Int. Harm	Wrong	Gen.	Int. Harm
G . V 1 ((G . P . 1 2)	(St. 1)	(St 1.)	(St. 2)	(St. 3)	(St 2.)	(St. 3)
Care Violations (Studies 1-3)	-	4.0	c -	6.4	0.4	22111
A girl shoots geese repeatedly with a pellet gun out in the woods.	12†	10	07	.04	01	.22***
A woman spanks her child with a spatula	.03	04	02	.03	03	.22**
for getting bad grades in school.						
A boy makes fun of his brother for getting dumped by his girlfriend.	.07	04	.06	.01	10	.05
A man tells a woman that her painting	03	09	.10	13*	20**	04
looks like it was done by children.						
A girl tells a boy that his older brother is much more attractive than him.	01	06	.06	21**	29***	09
Fairness Violations (Studies 1-3)	-					
A boy skips to the front of the line because	07	07	.17**	05	18**	04
his friend is an employee.	07	07	.17	03	10	04
A soccer player pretends to be seriously	.02	.06	15*	11 [†]	18**	10
fouled by an opposing player during a local game.						
A man hires his nephew for a job, instead	.10	02	02	.03	10	13*
of a more qualified applicant.						
A tenant bribes a landlord to be the first to	.02	09	03	04	20**	.02
get their apartment repainted. A man edges out another person in a long	.03	06	.08	.00	19**	.02
line.	.03	00	.00	.00	19	.02
Authority Violations (Studies 1-3)						
A girl takes her father's car out after	10	12^{\dagger}	.01	05	22***	11 [†]
curfew, against his wishes. An 18-year-old high school senior talks	10	07	15*	0.4	18**	.07
loudly in class while the instructor is	10	07	.15*	04	18***	.07
teaching.						
A staff member talks loudly and interrupts	09	13 [†]	.09	15*	24***	01
the mayor's speech to the public. A low-level company employee sends out	17*	10	.00	16**	27***	21**
an email to other low-level employees,	1/	10	.00	10	27	21
calling the company president an "idiot."	^ -	o =	0.5	4.0	•	
A soldier disobeys an order given by his commanding officer. Nothing bad happens	07	05	06	10	28***	13*
as a result of his disobedience.						
Loyalty Violations (Studies 1-3)	-					
A man sells government secrets from his	07	06	15*	04	16*	10
nation to another country. The information						
is classified, though the sale will not have any negative consequences for people in						
the man's home nation.						
An ambassador jokes about the stupidity of	20**	23**	07	22**	25***	06
people from his home country. A woman shares a secret that her best	06	03	04	02	12†	08
friend entrusted to her. The secret is not	00	03	04	02	12	08
damaging or embarrassing in any way and						
her friend never finds out that her secret has been shared.						
A coach celebrates with the opposing	06	16*	14*	20**	37***	27***
team's players who just won the game.						
A man leaves his family business to go	17*	26***	07	22**	29***	14*
work for their main competitor.	-					

Sanctity Violations (Studies 1-3)	_					
A woman eats the flesh of a deceased	23**	25***	21**	21**	24***	10
relative as part of a group funeral rite. A man searches through the trash to find	23**	27***	.01	17**	25***	16*
women's discarded underwear.	.23	.27	.01	.17	.23	.10
A man writes erotic poetry about his pet cat.	26***	24***	19**	27***	30***	19**
A woman marries her first cousin in an elaborate wedding.	19**	18**	24***	28***	27***	16*
A man takes part in a performance art piece in which a group of people act like animals for 30 minutes, including crawling around naked and urinating on stage.	31***	29***	27***	36***	34***	22**
Attention Checks (Study 1)	_					
A woman takes the bus to work instead of the train.	-	-	-	-	-	-
A woman eats a blueberry muffin and	-	-	-	-	-	-
drinks a glass of milk for breakfast.	_					
Warm-Up Items (Studies 2-3)	_					
A woman makes cruel remarks to an	-	-	-	-	-	-
overweight woman about her appearance. A man goes to a birthday party for his						
friend, but does not bring him a gift.	-	-	-	-	-	-

Estimated Moral Judgments at +/- 1 SD Political Conservatism

Study 1 – Wi	rongness	
	Liberals (-1 SD)	Conservatives (+1 SD)
Care	4.80	4.57
Fairness	4.64	5.08
Authority	4.67	5.49
Loyalty	4.75	5.36
Sanctity	4.90	5.80
Sunctity	4.50	3.00
Study 1 – Ge	eneralizability	
	Liberals (-1 SD)	Conservatives (+1 SD)
Care	2.46	2.23
Fairness	2.25	2.68
Authority	2.29	2.84
Loyalty	2.11	2.57
Sanctity	1.89	2.75
Sancing	1.07	2.13
Study 2 – Int	trinsic Harmfulness	
	Liberals (-1 SD)	Conservatives (+1 SD)
Care	5.47	5.36
Fairness	4.13	4.30
Authority	4.31	4.88
Loyalty	4.58	4.96
Sanctity	4.08	4.59
Study 3 - Wr		
	Liberals (-1 SD)	Conservatives (+1 SD)
Care	5.30	5.21
Fairness	5.15	5.24
Authority	~ 1 ~	
	5.15	5.70
Loyalty	5.15 5.29	5.70 5.78
• •	5.29	
Loyalty Sanctity		5.78
Sanctity	5.29 5.05 neralizability	5.78 6.28
Sanctity Study 3 - Ge	5.29 5.05 neralizability Liberals (-1 SD)	5.78 6.28 Conservatives (+1 SD)
Sanctity Study 3 - Ge Care	5.29 5.05 neralizability Liberals (-1 SD) 4.24	5.78 6.28 Conservatives (+1 SD) 4.41
Sanctity Study 3 - Ge Care Fairness	5.29 5.05 neralizability Liberals (-1 SD) 4.24 3.86	5.78 6.28 Conservatives (+1 SD) 4.41 4.37
Sanctity Study 3 - Ge Care	5.29 5.05 neralizability Liberals (-1 SD) 4.24	5.78 6.28 Conservatives (+1 SD) 4.41 4.37 4.76
Sanctity Study 3 - Ge Care Fairness	5.29 5.05 neralizability Liberals (-1 SD) 4.24 3.86	5.78 6.28 Conservatives (+1 SD) 4.41 4.37
Sanctity Study 3 - Ge Care Fairness Authority	5.29 5.05 neralizability Liberals (-1 SD) 4.24 3.86 3.75	5.78 6.28 Conservatives (+1 SD) 4.41 4.37 4.76
Sanctity Study 3 - Ge Care Fairness Authority Loyalty Sanctity	5.29 5.05 neralizability Liberals (-1 SD) 4.24 3.86 3.75 4.08 3.99	5.78 6.28 Conservatives (+1 SD) 4.41 4.37 4.76 4.80
Sanctity Study 3 - Ge Care Fairness Authority Loyalty Sanctity	5.29 5.05 neralizability Liberals (-1 SD) 4.24 3.86 3.75 4.08 3.99 trinsic Harmfulness	5.78 6.28 Conservatives (+1 SD) 4.41 4.37 4.76 4.80 5.34
Sanctity Study 3 - Ge Care Fairness Authority Loyalty Sanctity Study 3 - Int	5.29 5.05 neralizability Liberals (-1 SD) 4.24 3.86 3.75 4.08 3.99 trinsic Harmfulness Liberals (-1 SD)	5.78 6.28 Conservatives (+1 SD) 4.41 4.37 4.76 4.80 5.34 Conservatives (+1 SD)
Sanctity Study 3 - Ge Care Fairness Authority Loyalty Sanctity Study 3 - Interpretation	5.29 5.05 neralizability Liberals (-1 SD) 4.24 3.86 3.75 4.08 3.99 trinsic Harmfulness Liberals (-1 SD) 5.83	5.78 6.28 Conservatives (+1 SD) 4.41 4.37 4.76 4.80 5.34 Conservatives (+1 SD) 5.71
Sanctity Study 3 - Ge Care Fairness Authority Loyalty Sanctity Study 3 - Int	5.29 5.05 neralizability Liberals (-1 SD) 4.24 3.86 3.75 4.08 3.99 trinsic Harmfulness Liberals (-1 SD)	5.78 6.28 Conservatives (+1 SD) 4.41 4.37 4.76 4.80 5.34 Conservatives (+1 SD)
Sanctity Study 3 - Ge Care Fairness Authority Loyalty Sanctity Study 3 - Interpretation	5.29 5.05 neralizability Liberals (-1 SD) 4.24 3.86 3.75 4.08 3.99 trinsic Harmfulness Liberals (-1 SD) 5.83	5.78 6.28 Conservatives (+1 SD) 4.41 4.37 4.76 4.80 5.34 Conservatives (+1 SD) 5.71
Sanctity Study 3 - Ge Care Fairness Authority Loyalty Sanctity Study 3 - Int Care Fairness	5.29 5.05 neralizability Liberals (-1 SD) 4.24 3.86 3.75 4.08 3.99 trinsic Harmfulness Liberals (-1 SD) 5.83 5.15	5.78 6.28 Conservatives (+1 SD) 4.41 4.37 4.76 4.80 5.34 Conservatives (+1 SD) 5.71 4.92

Estimated Moral Judgments at +/- 1 SD Analytic Thinking

Deady I	rongness	
	-1 SD Analytic Thinking	+1 SD Analytic Thinking
Care	4.71	4.65
Fairness	4.81	4.90
Authority	5.30	4.85
Loyalty	5.29	4.82
Sanctity	5.98	4.72
•		
Study 1 – Ge	eneralizability	
	-1 SD Analytic Thinking	+1 SD Analytic Thinking
Care	2.49	2.19
Fairness	2.56	2.38
Authority	2.79	2.33
Loyalty	2.68	2.00
Sanctity	2.92	1.73
Study 2 – In	trinsic Harmfulness	
	-1 SD Analytic Thinking	+1 SD Analytic Thinking
Care	5.35	5.48
Fairness	4.20	4.24
Authority	4.50	4.68
Loyalty	4.98	4.56
Sanctity	4.80	3.88
Study 3 - Wi		
	-1 SD Analytic Thinking	+1 SD Analytic Thinking
Care	5.36	5.14
Fairness	5.27	5.11
	5.27 5.65	5.11 5.20
Fairness		
Fairness Authority	5.65	5.20
Fairness Authority Loyalty Sanctity	5.65 5.86 6.35	5.20 5.20
Fairness Authority Loyalty Sanctity	5.65 5.86 6.35 eneralizability	5.20 5.20 4.98
Fairness Authority Loyalty Sanctity Study 3 - Ge	5.65 5.86 6.35 eneralizability -1 SD Analytic Thinking	5.20 5.20 4.98 +1 SD Analytic Thinking
Fairness Authority Loyalty Sanctity Study 3 - Ge	5.65 5.86 6.35 eneralizability -1 SD Analytic Thinking 4.61	5.20 5.20 4.98 +1 SD Analytic Thinking 4.04
Fairness Authority Loyalty Sanctity Study 3 - Ge Care Fairness	5.65 5.86 6.35 eneralizability -1 SD Analytic Thinking 4.61 4.53	5.20 5.20 4.98 +1 SD Analytic Thinking 4.04 3.70
Fairness Authority Loyalty Sanctity Study 3 - Ge Care Fairness Authority	5.65 5.86 6.35 eneralizability -1 SD Analytic Thinking 4.61 4.53 4.82	5.20 5.20 4.98 +1 SD Analytic Thinking 4.04 3.70 3.69
Fairness Authority Loyalty Sanctity Study 3 - Ge Care Fairness Authority Loyalty	5.65 5.86 6.35 eneralizability -1 SD Analytic Thinking 4.61 4.53 4.82 5.05	5.20 5.20 4.98 +1 SD Analytic Thinking 4.04 3.70
Fairness Authority Loyalty Sanctity Study 3 - Ge Care Fairness Authority	5.65 5.86 6.35 eneralizability -1 SD Analytic Thinking 4.61 4.53 4.82	5.20 5.20 4.98 +1 SD Analytic Thinking 4.04 3.70 3.69
Fairness Authority Loyalty Sanctity Study 3 - Ge Care Fairness Authority Loyalty Sanctity	5.65 5.86 6.35 Eneralizability -1 SD Analytic Thinking 4.61 4.53 4.82 5.05 5.47	5.20 5.20 4.98 +1 SD Analytic Thinking 4.04 3.70 3.69 3.84
Fairness Authority Loyalty Sanctity Study 3 - Ge Care Fairness Authority Loyalty Sanctity	5.65 5.86 6.35 Ineralizability -1 SD Analytic Thinking 4.61 4.53 4.82 5.05 5.47 trinsic Harmfulness	5.20 5.20 4.98 +1 SD Analytic Thinking 4.04 3.70 3.69 3.84 3.86
Fairness Authority Loyalty Sanctity Study 3 - Ge Care Fairness Authority Loyalty Sanctity Study 3 - In	5.65 5.86 6.35 Ineralizability -1 SD Analytic Thinking 4.61 4.53 4.82 5.05 5.47 Itrinsic Harmfulness -1 SD Analytic Thinking	5.20 5.20 4.98 +1 SD Analytic Thinking 4.04 3.70 3.69 3.84 3.86 +1 SD Analytic Thinking
Fairness Authority Loyalty Sanctity Study 3 - Ge Care Fairness Authority Loyalty Sanctity Study 3 - In Care	5.65 5.86 6.35 meralizability -1 SD Analytic Thinking 4.61 4.53 4.82 5.05 5.47 trinsic Harmfulness -1 SD Analytic Thinking 5.59	5.20 5.20 4.98 +1 SD Analytic Thinking 4.04 3.70 3.69 3.84 3.86 +1 SD Analytic Thinking 5.96
Fairness Authority Loyalty Sanctity Study 3 - Ge Care Fairness Authority Loyalty Sanctity Study 3 - In Care Fairness	5.65 5.86 6.35 Ineralizability -1 SD Analytic Thinking 4.61 4.53 4.82 5.05 5.47 Itrinsic Harmfulness -1 SD Analytic Thinking 5.59 4.98	5.20 5.20 4.98 +1 SD Analytic Thinking 4.04 3.70 3.69 3.84 3.86 +1 SD Analytic Thinking 5.96 5.09
Fairness Authority Loyalty Sanctity Study 3 - Ge Care Fairness Authority Loyalty Sanctity Study 3 - In Care Fairness Authority Loyalty Sanctity	5.65 5.86 6.35 Ineralizability -1 SD Analytic Thinking 4.61 4.53 4.82 5.05 5.47 Itrinsic Harmfulness -1 SD Analytic Thinking 5.59 4.98 5.33	5.20 5.20 4.98 +1 SD Analytic Thinking 4.04 3.70 3.69 3.84 3.86 +1 SD Analytic Thinking 5.96 5.09 4.93
Fairness Authority Loyalty Sanctity Study 3 - Ge Care Fairness Authority Loyalty Sanctity Study 3 - In Care Fairness Authority Loyalty Loyalty Study 3 - In Care Fairness Authority Loyalty	5.65 5.86 6.35 Ineralizability -1 SD Analytic Thinking 4.61 4.53 4.82 5.05 5.47 Itrinsic Harmfulness -1 SD Analytic Thinking 5.59 4.98 5.33 5.14	5.20 5.20 4.98 +1 SD Analytic Thinking 4.04 3.70 3.69 3.84 3.86 +1 SD Analytic Thinking 5.96 5.09 4.93 4.46
Fairness Authority Loyalty Sanctity Study 3 - Ge Care Fairness Authority Loyalty Sanctity Study 3 - In Care Fairness Authority	5.65 5.86 6.35 Ineralizability -1 SD Analytic Thinking 4.61 4.53 4.82 5.05 5.47 Itrinsic Harmfulness -1 SD Analytic Thinking 5.59 4.98 5.33	5.20 5.20 4.98 +1 SD Analytic Thinking 4.04 3.70 3.69 3.84 3.86 +1 SD Analytic Thinking 5.96 5.09 4.93

Correlations Between Moral Judgments and MFQ Scores, Study 1

	MFQ Score					
Moral Judgment	Care	Fairness	Authority	Loyalty	Sanctity	
Wrongness (Care)	.48***	.32***	.15*	.11†	.16*	
Wrongness (Fairness)	.27***	.20**	$.12^{\dagger}$.13†	.10	
Wrongness (Authority)	.19**	.10	.45***	.36***	.33***	
Wrongness (Loyalty)	.17**	.13*	.42***	.41***	.35***	
Wrongness (Sanctity)	.28***	.15*	.41***	.36***	.54***	
Generalizability (Care)	.27***	.17*	.06	.02	.16*	
Generalizability (Fairness)	.07	.01	.07	.07	.14*	
Generalizability (Authority)	.05	00	.26***	.22**	.29***	
Generalizability (Loyalty)	.11	.01	.27***	.28***	.33***	
Generalizability (Sanctity)	.12	.03	.30***	.27***	.48***	

Linear Regressions, Study 1

Outcome Variable: Wrongness ratings, Care/Harm

	βs					
Predictor	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4		
Conservatism	08	11	-	11		
Analytic Thinking	02	-	01	01		
Age	-	.07	.07	.07		
Sex (Male)	-	12 [†]	11	11		
Years of Education	-	04	03	03		
Household Income	-	03	04	03		
Race (Black)	-	15*	14*	15*		
Race (Asian)	-	16*	16*	17*		
Race (Hispanic/Latino)	-	01	01	02		
Race (Other/Mixed)	-	04	02	04		

Outcome Variable: Wrongness ratings, Fairness/Cheating

	βs					
Predictor	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4		
Conservatism	.15*	.13†	-	.13 [†]		
Analytic Thinking	.03	-	.05	.05		
Age	-	$.12^{\dagger}$	$.12^{\dagger}$	$.11^{\dagger}$		
Sex (Male)	-	08	09	08		
Years of Education	-	05	07	06		
Household Income	-	.05	.07	.05		
Race (Black)	-	10	11	10		
Race (Asian)	-	.01	.02	.02		
Race (Hispanic/Latino)	-	.03	.03	.04		
Race (Other/Mixed)	-	05	06	05		

Outcome Variable: Wrongness ratings, Authority/Subversion

	βs					
Predictor	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4		
Conservatism	.26***	.24***	-	.24***		
Analytic Thinking	14*	-	12†	12 [†]		
Age	-	.14*	.15*	.14*		
Sex (Male)	-	11	10	09		
Years of Education	-	10	08	07		
Household Income	-	.13*	.16*	.14*		
Race (Black)	-	.01	02	.00		
Race (Asian)	-	08	09	09		
Race (Hispanic/Latino)	-	02	05	03		
Race (Other/Mixed)	-	.03	.01	.03		

Outcome Variable: Wrongness ratings, Loyalty/Betrayal

	βs					
Predictor	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4		
Conservatism	.24***	.23**	-	.23***		
Analytic Thinking	18**	-	15*	15*		
Age	-	.13*	.15*	.13*		
Sex (Male)	-	04	03	02		
Years of Education	-	15*	12 [†]	11		
Household Income	-	.07	.10	.07		
Race (Black)	-	.03	01	.02		
Race (Asian)	-	03	05	04		
Race (Hispanic/Latino)	-	.06	.04	.05		
Race (Other/Mixed)	-	.03	.00	.03		

Outcome Variable: Wrongness ratings, Sanctity/Degradation

	βs					
Predictor	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4		
Conservatism	.22***	.22**	-	.22**		
Analytic Thinking	31***	-	28***	28***		
Age	-	.08	.10	.09		
Sex (Male)	-	09	06	05		
Years of Education	-	17*	11	10		
Household Income	-	.04	.07	.05		
Race (Black)	-	01	05	03		
Race (Asian)	-	03	06	05		
Race (Hispanic/Latino)	-	.16*	$.12^{\dagger}$.13*		
Race (Other/Mixed)	-	.06	.03	.06		

Outcome Variable: Generality judgments, Care/Harm

	βs			
Predictor	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4
Conservatism	08	07	-	08
Analytic Thinking	01	-	11	11
Age	-	02	02	02
Sex (Male)	-	16*	14*	14*
Years of Education	-	.05	.08	.08
Household Income	-	09	10	09
Race (Black)	-	09	09	09
Race (Asian)	-	06	06	06
Race (Hispanic/Latino)	-	.08	.08	.07
Race (Other/Mixed)	-	.01	.02	.01

Outcome Variable: Generality judgments, Fairness/Cheating

	βs			
Predictor	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4
Conservatism	.12†	.11	-	.11
Analytic Thinking	05	-	05	05
Age	-	.10	.10	.10
Sex (Male)	-	.02	.02	.03
Years of Education	-	02	01	01
Household Income	-	.11	.13†	.11
Race (Black)	-	03	04	03
Race (Asian)	-	.05	.04	.04
Race (Hispanic/Latino)	-	.11	.10	.11
Race (Other/Mixed)	-	02	04	02

Outcome Variable: Generality judgments, Authority/Subversion

	βs			
Predictor	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4
Conservatism	.15*	.13†	-	.13 [†]
Analytic Thinking	12^{\dagger}	-	14†	13 [†]
Age	-	.02	.03	.02
Sex (Male)	-	01	.00	.01
Years of Education	-	06	03	03
Household Income	-	.24**	.25***	.24**
Race (Black)	-	.00	02	01
Race (Asian)	-	09	11	10
Race (Hispanic/Latino)	-	.03	.01	.01
Race (Other/Mixed)	-	01	03	01

Outcome Variable: Generality judgments, Loyalty/Betrayal

	βs			
Predictor	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4
Conservatism	.15*	.15*	-	.14*
Analytic Thinking	22**	-	22**	22**
Age	-	.02	.03	.03
Sex (Male)	-	03	.00	.01
Years of Education	-	07	02	01
Household Income	-	.06	.08	.07
Race (Black)	-	01	04	03
Race (Asian)	-	.03	.00	.01
Race (Hispanic/Latino)	-	.15*	.12†	.13*
Race (Other/Mixed)	-	02	04	03

Outcome Variable: Generality judgments, Sanctity/Degradation

	βs			
Predictor	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4
Conservatism	.23***	.24***	-	.23***
Analytic Thinking	33***	-	32***	31***
Age	-	.07	.09	.08
Sex (Male)	-	10	06	05
Years of Education	-	10	03	02
Household Income	-	.02	.05	.03
Race (Black)	-	.00	04	02
Race (Asian)	-	01	04	03
Race (Hispanic/Latino)	-	.17*	.13†	.14*
Race (Other/Mixed)	-	.01	02	.01

Linear Regressions, Study 2

Outcome Variable: Intrinsic harm ratings, Care/Harm

	βs			
Predictor	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4
Conservatism	03	04	-	04
Analytic Thinking	.04	-	.05	.05
Age	-	08	09	08
Sex (Male)	-	00	02	01
Years of Education	-	02	03	03
Household Income	-	.07	.06	.06
Race (Black)	-	01	01	01
Race (Asian)	-	16*	16*	16*
Race (Hispanic/Latino)	-	10	09	09
Race (Other/Mixed)	-	$.12^{\dagger}$	$.12^{\dagger}$	$.12^{\dagger}$

Outcome Variable: Intrinsic harm ratings, Fairness/Cheating

	βs			
Predictor	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4
Conservatism	.06	.07	-	.07
Analytic Thinking	.02	-	.03	.03
Age	-	02	01	02
Sex (Male)	-	09	09	10
Years of Education	-	.01	01	.01
Household Income	-	01	01	01
Race (Black)	-	12 [†]	12 [†]	12†
Race (Asian)	-	01	02	01
Race (Hispanic/Latino)	-	.05	.05	.06
Race (Other/Mixed)	-	.05	.05	.05

Outcome Variable: Intrinsic harm ratings, Authority/Subversion

	βs			
Predictor	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4
Conservatism	.18**	.17*	-	.17**
Analytic Thinking	.07	-	.07	.08
Age	-	.01	.04	.01
Sex (Male)	-	04	03	05
Years of Education	-	03	08	05
Household Income	-	.10	$.11^{\dagger}$.10
Race (Black)	-	01	01	01
Race (Asian)	-	03	04	03
Race (Hispanic/Latino)	-	.01	.02	.02
Race (Other/Mixed)	-	.09	.09	.09

Outcome Variable: Intrinsic harm ratings, Loyalty/Betrayal

	βs			
Predictor	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4
Conservatism	.13*	.11†	-	.10
Analytic Thinking	14*	-	15*	14*
Age	-	.05	.08	.06
Sex (Male)	-	05	02	03
Years of Education	-	11	10	08
Household Income	-	.10	.11	.10
Race (Black)	-	01	02	02
Race (Asian)	-	02	02	02
Race (Hispanic/Latino)	-	00	01	01
Race (Other/Mixed)	-	02	02	02

Outcome Variable: Intrinsic harm ratings, Sanctity/Degradation

	βs			
Predictor	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4
Conservatism	.13*	.16*	-	.15*
Analytic Thinking	25***	-	21**	20**
Age	-	12^{\dagger}	08	11†
Sex (Male)	-	16**	11†	13*
Years of Education	-	12 [†]	11†	09
Household Income	-	.06	.08	.07
Race (Black)	-	.08	.06	.06
Race (Asian)	-	.06	.05	.06
Race (Hispanic/Latino)	-	.05	.03	.03
Race (Other/Mixed)	-	.02	.02	.02

Linear Regressions, Study 3

Outcome Variable: Wrongness ratings, Care/Harm

	βs			
Predictor	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4
Conservatism	06	01	-	04
Analytic Thinking	09	-	07	08
Age	-	01	00	00
Sex (Male)	-	21**	20**	20**
Years of Education	-	.14*	.15*	.14*
Household Income	-	.01	.01	.01
Race (Black)	-	13*	13*	14*
Race (Asian)	-	.01	.01	.01
Race (Hispanic/Latino)	-	.08	.07	.07
Race (Other/Mixed)	-	.04	.04	.04

Outcome Variable: Wrongness ratings, Fairness/Cheating

	βs			
Predictor	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4
Conservatism	.01	.06	-	.05
Analytic Thinking	05	-	04	03
Age	-	.10	.10	.10
Sex (Male)	-	18**	17**	18**
Years of Education	-	$.11^{\dagger}$.10	.06
Household Income	-	.05	.06	.06
Race (Black)	-	.07	.06	.07
Race (Asian)	-	.10	$.11^{\dagger}$.10
Race (Hispanic/Latino)	-	.10	.10	.10
Race (Other/Mixed)	-	.13*	.13*	.13

Outcome Variable: Wrongness ratings, Authority/Subversion

	Bs			
Predictor	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4
Conservatism	.17*	.19**	-	.16*
Analytic Thinking	09	-	15*	10
Age	-	.20**	.21**	.20**
Sex (Male)	-	17**	16*	16**
Years of Education	-	.07	.05	.07
Household Income	-	.12†	.13*	.13*
Race (Black)	-	$.10^{\dagger}$.08	.09
Race (Asian)	-	.02	.03	.02
Race (Hispanic/Latino)	-	.08	.06	.06
Race (Other/Mixed)	-	.07	.06	.07

Outcome Variable: Wrongness ratings, Loyalty/Betrayal

	Bs			
Predictor	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4
Conservatism	.10	.18**	-	.12†
Analytic Thinking	18**	-	22***	19**
Age	-	10	$.12^{\dagger}$	$.11^{\dagger}$
Sex (Male)	-	15*	13*	13*
Years of Education	-	.06	.05	.06
Household Income	-	.11	$.12^{\dagger}$	$.12^{\dagger}$
Race (Black)	-	.03	.01	.02
Race (Asian)	-	.01	.02	.02
Race (Hispanic/Latino)	-	.07	.05	.05
Race (Other/Mixed)	-	.06	.06	.06

Outcome Variable: Wrongness ratings, Sanctity/Degradation

Predictor	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4
Conservatism	.22***	.31***	-	.24***
Analytic Thinking	27***	-	31***	24***
Age	-	02	.01	00
Sex (Male)	-	23***	20**	21***
Years of Education	-	02	04	01
Household Income	-	.04	.06	.05
Race (Black)	-	.13*	.08	$.11^{\dagger}$
Race (Asian)	-	01	.00	00
Race (Hispanic/Latino)	-	.09	.06	.07
Race (Other/Mixed)	-	05	07	06

Outcome Variable: Generality ratings, Care/Harm

	βs			
Predictor	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4
Conservatism	00	.06	-	.02
Analytic Thinking	17*	-	14*	14*
Age	-	10	09	09
Sex (Male)	-	18**	17**	17**
Years of Education	-	$.11^{\dagger}$	$.11^{\dagger}$	$.11^{\dagger}$
Household Income	-	01	.00	00
Race (Black)	-	$.11^{\dagger}$	12*	12 [†]
Race (Asian)	-	04	04	04
Race (Hispanic/Latino)	-	.13*	$.12^{\dagger}$	$.12^{\dagger}$
Race (Other/Mixed)	-	.05	.05	.05

Outcome Variable: Generality ratings, Fairness/Cheating

	βs			
Predictor	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4
Conservatism	.08	.16*	-	.11†
Analytic Thinking	20**	-	18**	15*
Age	-	07	05	06
Sex (Male)	-	.17**	15*	16*
Years of Education	-	.07	.06	.08
Household Income	-	03	02	02
Race (Black)	-	.09	.06	.07
Race (Asian)	-	.04	.04	.04
Race (Hispanic/Latino)	-	.18**	.16*	.16**
Race (Other/Mixed)	-	.06	.05	.06

Outcome Variable: Generality ratings, Authority/Subversion

	βs			
Predictor	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4
Conservatism	.19**	.28***	-	.22**
Analytic Thinking	24***	-	28***	22**
Age	-	.00	.03	02
Sex (Male)	-	16**	13*	14*
Years of Education	-	.04	.01	.04
Household Income	-	.02	.05	.04
Race (Black)	-	.09	.06	.08
Race (Asian)	-	07	06	06
Race (Hispanic/Latino)	-	$.11^{\dagger}$.08	.09
Race (Other/Mixed)	-	01	03	02

Outcome Variable: Generality ratings, Loyalty/Betrayal

	βs			
Predictor	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4
Conservatism	.10	.20**	-	.12†
Analytic Thinking	29***	-	29***	25***
Age	-	07	05	05
Sex (Male)	-	21**	17**	18**
Years of Education	-	00	02	.00
Household Income	-	00	.02	.02
Race (Black)	-	.03	.00	.02
Race (Asian)	-	06	06	06
Race (Hispanic/Latino)	-	$.12^{\dagger}$.09	.09
Race (Other/Mixed)	-	03	04	03

Outcome Variable: Generality ratings, Sanctity/Degradation

	βs			
Predictor	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4
Conservatism	.22***	.33***	-	.25***
Analytic Thinking	31***	-	34***	26***
Age	-	06	03	04
Sex (Male)	-	24***	20**	21***
Years of Education	-	00	03	.00
Household Income	-	.02	.04	.04
Race (Black)	-	.15*	$.10^{\dagger}$.13*
Race (Asian)	-	06	05	06
Race (Hispanic/Latino)	-	.16**	.12*	.13*
Race (Other/Mixed)	-	07	09	07

Outcome Variable: Intrinsic harm ratings, Care/Harm

	βs			
Predictor	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4
Conservatism	01	04	-	01
Analytic Thinking	$.12^{\dagger}$	-	.10	.10
Age	-	08	09	09
Sex (Male)	-	00	01	01
Years of Education	-	.03	.03	.03
Household Income	-	.08	.07	.07
Race (Black)	-	18**	17*	17*
Race (Asian)	-	08	09	08
Race (Hispanic/Latino)	-	05	04	04
Race (Other/Mixed)	-	.09	.09	.09

Outcome Variable: Intrinsic harm ratings, Fairness/Cheating

	βs			
Predictor	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4
Conservatism	07	08	-	06
Analytic Thinking	.01	-	.06	.04
Age	-	13*	14*	14*
Sex (Male)	-	.03	.03	.03
Years of Education	-	03	02	03
Household Income	-	08	08	08
Race (Black)	-	06	05	05
Race (Asian)	-	.03	.03	.03
Race (Hispanic/Latino)	-	.05	.06	.06
Race (Other/Mixed)	-	$.12^{\dagger}$	$.12^{\dagger}$.12†

Outcome Variable: Intrinsic harm ratings, Authority/Subversion

	βs			
Predictor	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4
Conservatism	.08	.10	-	.07
Analytic Thinking	10	-	14*	12†
Age	-	00	.01	.01
Sex (Male)	-	.07	.09	.08
Years of Education	-	06	07	06
Household Income	-	.01	.02	.02
Race (Black)	-	02	03	03
Race (Asian)	-	02	02	02
Race (Hispanic/Latino)	-	01	03	02
Race (Other/Mixed)	-	.05	.04	.04

Outcome Variable: Intrinsic harm ratings, Loyalty/Betrayal

	βs			
Predictor	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4
Conservatism	.11	.15*	-	.10
Analytic Thinking	18**	-	18**	15*
Age	-	09	07	08
Sex (Male)	-	08	06	07
Years of Education	-	12 [†]	13*	12 [†]
Household Income	-	04	03	03
Race (Black)	-	.04	.02	.03
Race (Asian)	-	.06	.06	.06
Race (Hispanic/Latino)	-	.04	.02	.02
Race (Other/Mixed)	-	.08	.07	.08

Outcome Variable: Intrinsic harm ratings, Sanctity/Degradation

	βs			
Predictor	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4
Conservatism	.15*	.22**	-	.17*
Analytic Thinking	19**	-	22**	16*
Age	-	05	03	04
Sex (Male)	-	08	06	07
Years of Education	-	.01	01	.01
Household Income	-	.01	.03	.03
Race (Black)	-	$.11^{\dagger}$.08	.10
Race (Asian)	-	02	01	01
Race (Hispanic/Latino)	-	.09	.07	.07
Race (Other/Mixed)	-	08	09	08